UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/799,232	03/12/2004	Nan-Hsiung Yeh	STL11732	7555		
Patricia A. Shee	7590 04/09/200 ehan	EXAMINER				
Cesari and Mck	Kenna, LLP	CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M				
88 Black Falcon Avenue Boston, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2112		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/09/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/799,232	YEH ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	M. MUJTABA K. CHAUDRY	2112		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a				
Period fo		ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state teply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-15 and 17-23 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withder Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-15 and 17-23 is/are rejected to Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examinate The drawing(s) filed on 04 January 2008 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding sheet(s) including she	rawn from consideration.  d.  /or election requirement.  ner.  re: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objecte the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date		

Art Unit: 2112

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicants' response was received January 04, 2008.

- Petition to revive was received and granted.

- No foreign references are received as indicated in the response.

- No IDS/1149 is received.

- No terminal disclaimer is received as indicated in the response.

- Corrected drawings are accepted.

- Double Patenting rejection is maintained.

- Claim rejections under 35 USC 112 are withdrawn.

- Claim rejections under 35 USC 102/103 are withdrawn.

- Examiner is unable to change docket number as requested. Applicants are advised to

contact customer service.

An attempt to contact Applicants' representative (per request) was made, but no

communication was established.

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-15, 17-20 and 21-23 remain and stand rejected.

Application is pending.

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments/amendments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 7-15, 17-20 and 21-23, regarding art rejections, have been received. All arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive. Independent claims, as amended, now contain allowable subject matter which was

Art Unit: 2112

objected to in previous office action. The Examiner would like to point out that this action is made final (See MPEP 706.07a) since the Double Patenting rejection is maintained.

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 2112

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-5, 7-15, 17-20 and 21-23 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of copending Application No. 10799231. See prior office action.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to the examiner,

Mujtaba Chaudry who may be reached at 571-272-3817. The examiner may normally be reached

Mon – Thur 6:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on 571-272-6962.

Art Unit: 2112

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Mujtaba K Chaudry/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2112 April 2, 2008